Prop. and Responsible

Editor

1. D. Elissa

Juffe

P. O. B. 194

Tel. No.



Palestine 4 6 1

صاحب الجريدة عيسي داود الميسي يافا

صندوق البريد التلفون

Joffa, March 25th, 1925.

بافا ب ١٩٢٥ سنه ١٩٢٥

A Special Edition in English issued on the occasion of the visit to Palestine of LORD BALFOUR, the statesman with whose name is associated the Declaration which to the Arabs signifies the death knell of all the hopes they cherished when the victorious British Armies entered their country in 1918

" FOR WE WRESTLE NOT AGAINST FLESH AND BLOOD, BUT AGAINST PRINCIPALITIES AND POWERS, AGAINST THE RULERS OF THE DARKNESS OF THIS WORLD, AGAINST SPIRITUAL WICKEDNESS IN HIGH PLACES",

J'ACCUSE!

- 1. THE BRITISH GOVERNMENT, of having allowed itself to become a tool in the hands of the Jews for the purpose of furthering their Nationalist aims in Pales-
- 2. THE LEAGUE OF NATIONS, of seeking to administer in Palestine a Mandate which is incompatible with Article 22 of the Covenant of the League under which the Mandatory system is set up.
- 3. THE GOVERNMENT OF PALESTINE, of pursuing with open eyes a policy which, by granting a favoured position to the Jewish minority, is unjust to the Arab majority in Palestine.

I call upon

The British Parliament, the people of the British Empire, and the League of Nations, to demand of the Government of Palestine, that the words of the ROYAL PROCLAMATION read in Jerusalem and Haifa in July 1920 by the High Commissioner for Palestine; and those of the ANGLO-FRENCH DECLARATION of November 1918, issued in Palestine by Lord Allenby, shall be respected, viz:-

a. THE ROYAL PROCLAMATION

"TO THE PEOPLE OF PALESTINE"

« I desire to assure you of the absolute imprtiality with which the duties of the Mandatory Power will be carried out, and of the determination of My Government to respect the rights of every race and every creed represented among you.....» GEORGE R. and I.

b. THE ANGLO-FRENCH DECLARATION

a The object aimed at by France and Great Britain . . . is, the complete and definite emancipation of the peoples so long oppressed by the Turks, and the establishment of national govern-ments and administrations deriving their authority from the initiative and free choice of the in-

digenous populations Far from wishing to impose on the populations of these regions any particular institutions they are only concerned to ensure by their support and by adequate assistance the regular working of Governments and administrations freely chosen by the populations themselves . . .

Frances E. Newton. Mount Carmel. Palestine.

Imperium in Imperio

«It is not Great Britain which is taking the Jewish Interna-tional into its mervice, as the head of the Government in London imagined; it is more likely that Jewish international finance is attempting to take Britain into its service so as to assure world control for itself... assure world control for itself...
We are actually facing a gigantic effort on the part of Jewish
international imperialism to
win the game; it is the victorious undertaking of pan-Judaism. Far from being favoured,
as England has secretly imagined, she is the first to be menaced....

To attain that general confi-dence without which success cannot be reached, Zionism should have the courage to should have the courage to disayow and rid itself of certain adherents... whose secret aim is to make Palestine the head-quarters of International pan-Judaism... the aim is to es-tablish a national home to serve as an effective center from which the movements and evolution of Jewish imperialism economic and Messianic - can

be directed.

This form of Zionism, which aims to establish a form of

League of Small Jewish Nations within other nations, a true federation of Jewish States in the heart of other States, with a directing head in Palestine, is essentially dangerous for non-Jews... it would also be a danger to the Jews, who would become subjected to increased persecution...

The Treaties of Versailles and Saint Germain guaranteed the Jews of Oriental Europe the RIGHT to form national minorities and recognised the RIGHT to form a State within a State... If the reconstituted Jewish people wishes to be ranked as a nation among nations, it is the duty and interest of all to help; if if intends to organise internationally so as to ruin and dominate other nations, it is the duty of these to arise and not permit it to do so a.

(From "Le problème Juff" has Georges Batanit, Paris

(From " Le problème Juff " by Georges Balantt, Paris.)

Zionist Colonisation

In spite of Zionist attempts to acquire large tracts of land, it is open to doubt if these will be successfully colonised. Zionist propaganda has created the legend of the Jew going back to the land in Palestine. It is not generally known that the seemingly prosperous Jewish

colonies are often in debt and have been heavily subsidised. In an interview (published, by the Zionist Press Bureau) Ba-ron Edmond de Bothschild spoke plainly on the subject to Mr Ben-Avi, editor of (Doar Hayom). We quote some ex-tracts:-

I am very disappointed with the colonies which I have exhabitshed.... To this day I receive letters from the majority of the colonies with requests for money; for this person or the other for his personal needs; for the repair of a road, the building of a well, the construction of a hospital or a school. There is no end to these proposals - always loans of considerable sums to cover budget deficits in able sums to cover budget defielts in Gatilee, Samuria, and even Judea . . . If, after years of work and effort, the If, after years of work and effort, the colonists cunnot attain to the situa, then of the Egyptian, Arab, or European farmers, the fault lies with them ... So long as their families will not become farmers, so long as their sons and daughters will not work with them on their fields they will not succeed in living the life of independent persons. (1)

The Balfour (1) Declaration

We now know that the Balfour Declaration was merely a
modified version of a formula
decided upon and drafted by
the Zionist Committee. Considering all things, it is hardly
surprising that the Arabs distrust both the origin and intention of the Balfour Declaration,
and refuse to recognise as
binding upon them a pact entered into between the British
Government and the Zionists,
and to which the Arabs were
not a party.

(1) Fortnightly Review Jan. 1924 By Captain Chisbolm Dunbar Brunton

TO MY LORD BALFOUR

My Case against Zionism

With its trains and automo-biles, with its fast steamers and neroplanes, with its wonderful wireless transmission, and, conwireless transmission, and, consequently, with the great tendency and desire for travel that are daily gaining grounds with nations:- with all these our once boundless and remote old world has become so small that one sometimes immagines if he stretched out his hand he would touch the boundaries of it; or if he raised his voice he would make the four corners of it resound. And this was all accomplished through science by the human mind which is derived from God.

Yet our dear politicians,

derived from God.

Yet our dear politicians, jealous for their eminent positions in their respective nations, and earried away by the momentum of ancient political methods which are saturated with narrow patriotism and haunded by antrow ambitions and ancient animosities, will insist on considering the world as large as it used to be, and forthwith will try, in the face of nature berself, to spilt it up into as many little worlds AS POSSIBLE in order to be able to separately govern it. to separately govern it.

to separately govern it.

Hence are the world-wide unrest and confusion which are biting deep and very deep into the happiness of markind rendering life miserable by perpetual expectation of war and wasting the greater part of public energy, Intelligence, and money, in preparation for war.

The only remedy, no doubt, for the present appathing state of affairs is union in the vital common conditions amongst mankind which react on the inevitable connections between

inevitable connections between

Union in fundamental educa-

Union in administration, Union in currency, and Union in language

In proportion to the distance it covers towards union on these conditions the world at-tains tranquility and happiness.

"The urgent need for a crea-tive effort has become appa-rent in the affairs of mankind. It is manifest that unless some It is manifest that unless some unity of purpose can be achieved in the world, unless the ever more violent and di-sastrous incidence of war can be averted, unless some com-mon control can be imposed on the headlong waste of man's on the headlong waste of man's limited inheritance of coal, oll, and moral energy that is now going on, the history of humanily must presently culminate in some sort of disaster, repeating and exagerating the disaster of the great war, producing chaotic social conditions and going on thereafter in a degeneralive process towards extinction. So much all reasonable men seem now prepared to admit", - ("The Salvaging of Civilization", by H. G. Welts.)

Yet Zionism, backed and supported by Great Britain, is establishing itself an obstruction in the way to union. It is, furthermore, increasing the

furthermore, increasing the degressions that are the cause of the world's unrest.

Zionism founds in Palestine a Zionism founds in Patestine a tends towards the isolation of its adopters from their next-door neighbours and necessarily leads to misunderstanding and strife. It errects in Palestine a new, strange, and unique, administration the parallel of which the world has never witnessed, based on favouratism and resulting in the incidement and resulting in the incitement of bitter hatred between the in-habitants of the one country.

It introduces into Palestine a new currency even after such experiment has proved to be a disaster to Syria the twin sister of Palestine. It, finally, calls into existance an obsolete language that has long been dead and bursted, and is enknown to give ried, and is unknown to nine tenths of the Jews themselves.

tenths of the Jews themselves.

The Jews, for no humanly reasonable object, have always isolated themselves from the rest of mankind and lead throughout a sort of clanish existance, creating thereby on impremeditated, latent, revolt in humanity against the breaking of the most mutural law of assimilation.

Zionism now comes to empha-Zionism now comes to empina-size this half distinct, onerous, aspect of the Jews' existance by pushing it up before the eyes of the world, in the face of the very urgent world-wide natural demand for unity. What will the issue be?

Imustagnin quote H.G. Wells: The Intelligent European is upagainst the unity of Europe's problem night and day. Europe cannot go on. European civi-lization cannot go on unless that net of boundaries which strangles her is dissolved away.

The language differences, by bitter national traditions, by had political habits and the like are political habits and the like are no doubt stupendous..... I'n-less these are overcome and overcome in a very few years, Europe entangled in this net of houndaries and under a perpetual fear of war will, I am convinced, follow Russia and slide down beyond any hope of recovery into a process of social dissolution as profound and disastrous as that which closed the career of the Western Roman Empire.

It is a life and death necessity. If they cannot obey it they will all lie destroyed."

The work of the Jews in Eu rope is somewhat latent. But they are intent on showing an apparent specimen of it in Pa-

What does my Lord Ralfour, who by his famous declaration who by his lamous declaration gave sanction to a fresh addition to the misery of the world viz: "The lewish National Home in Palestine", - say to this case which is, as I have shown, a part of a just world-case?

"Faral"

difficulties created by which His Majesty's Government place upon the Declaralion of 1917, and, so interpreted, the Secretary of State is of opinion that it does not contain or imply which need cause either alarm to the Arab population of Patestine, or disappointment to the Jews. If the Government of Pales

tine is to carry out loyally and consistently the duty imposed upon it by the Mandate and the White paper, it is obvious that an identity of purpose must be expressed in both documents. It is impossible here to do more than glance at a few of the Articles in order to discover whether this indentity of purpose exists, leaving it to anyone sufficiently interested in the subject to carry the study further.

The principle underlying the interpretation of the Declara-tion as found in the statement of "British Policy in Palestine". (White Paper p.17.) is that of equality of status, of opportunity, and of treatment for all the inhabitants of Palestine. This principle should also form the basis of the Mandate. The more so, since its final text was passed by the League of Na-tions and was issued by the British Government about six months after the publication of the White Paper, if there are in the Mandate any claims which are inconsistent with the interpretation of the Declaration in the White Paper, the Mandate must be amended to bring it into harmony, unless the British Government's interpretation has no value.

Turning now to the text of the Mandate, the Preamble embodies the Declaration and goes on to say:-

"recognition has thereby been given to the historical connection of the Jewish people with Palesthie, and to the grounds for reconstituting their national home in that country...."

If this is the interpretation placed upon the declaration by the framers of the Mandate. does it express the same intention as that contained in the White Paper? Does it mean no more than the "further development of the existing Jewish community? for than that some Jews "should be able to come to Palestine in order to help to develop the country to the advantage of all its inhabitants"? If this is really all that the Declaration stands for, and all that the British Government intends it to mean, what need is there for a reference to "historic connection", or for the preflx to the world "reconstituting"? None know better than His Majesty's Government what a buttle royal was fought and won by the Zionists when these two words and these two letters were incorporated in the text of the Mandate. What lies behind them was told to the members of the Mandates Commission at Geneva last November by the High Commissioner, when he said, "the Jewish memory is long, and there is a real desire to return to the conditions which had so successfully prevailed two thousand years ago" (Minutes of the fifth session p. 69. C, 617. M, 216, 1924.)

of race and religion". This article inposes three duties upon the Mandatory: -

ARTICLE 2

"The Mandatory shall

he responsible for pla-

cing the country under such political, admi-

nistrative, and econo-

mic conditions as will

scenre the establish-

ment of the Jewish No-

tional Home, as laid

down in the preamble, and the development of self governing insti-

tutions, and also for

safeguarding the civil

and religious rights of all the inhabitants of

Palestine, irrespective

a) The duty of so ordering the affairs of the country as will "see are" the establishment of the national home. The word "secure" goes further, does it not, than the word used in the declaration, "facilitating". While the one implies no more than that the way shall be made as easy as may be possible for the as may be possible for the Jewa themselves to create their national home, the other lays that duly upon the shoulders of the Mandatory

shoulders of the Mandatory Power.

h) The duty of developing self governing institutions. This clause may be linked withArt,3 which calls upon the Mandatory "so far as circumstance may permit "to "encourage local autonomy". During the past four years the Government of Pulcstine has made several efforts to set up a Legislative, or an Advisory Councit, only to find that the Arabs refuse to collaborate with on the basis of a Constitution founded on the terms of the Mandate. Their reasons for this are to be found on p.2.of theWhite Paper. So far the Government has not solved the problem of reconciling the duty of "securing" the establishment of the National Home, with that of encouraging local autonomy among the with that of encouraging lo-cal autonomy among the Arubs, Except in the town-ship of Tel Aviv, and in the Jewish colonies, where the municipal and local councils are elected, the machinery of local coll programment which local self government which existed in Turkish days, and which was held in abeyance by the military administra-tion, has not yet been re-es-tablished. The Arab munici-pal authorities are the nomi-

pal authorities are the nominees of the Government of Palestine, as are also those of the Arab village councils.

c) The duly of safeguarding the rights of all the inhabitants of Palestine. The Mandate here follows the lines of the White Paper in seeking to allay any apprehention that the creation of the National Home may lend to harm the non-lewish population, but if the intention of the White Paper is many sense binding Paper is in any sense binding on the Mandatory, the wor-ding of the clause is unfor-tantie. The words "and also for safeguarding..." may be read to mean that, having sa-tisfied the obligation of clause tisited the obligation of clause one, by placing the country under the conditions there laid down, the Mandatory is merely "also" required to safeguard the rights of non Jews. The intention to safeguard is clear, but it would have been wiser to state it in unambiguous language, such as "provided always that the rights of all the inhabitants are safeguarded" In this manner the three duties imposed under Article 2, would have been given equal

CREATING The National Home

A study of the Mandate and of the White Paper on Palestine commonly known as the

Churchill Statement.

A little scrutiny of the Balfour Declaration issued in 1917 by the British Government, shows that it falls into three parts:-The first contains a promise to the Nationalist Jews, namely, to facilitate the creation in Palestine of a National Home. The second contains an undertaking to safeguard the rights of the non-Jewish population, and, the third contains a statement that the creation of a National Home will not affect the rights and the political status of Jews in any other country. By this is meant that if, in the future, the national home should develop into something more important, such as a Jewish State, those Jews who desire to retain their citizenship of other countries will, without any stigma attaching to them, be free to do so.

The Declaration, issued as it was, when the result of the Great war was still in suspense, had of necessity to be couched in somewhat general terms, and these needed to be more ex-plicitly stated before they could be translated into action. This was done in two official documents issued by the British Government. They are:-

- A. The Mandate for Palestine. (Card 1500, and 1785)
- B. A White Paper entitled "Correspondance with the Palestine Arab Delegation and the Zionist Commis-sion". (Cmd 1700.)

The draft text of the Mandate was first issued in August 1921, and the final text in December 1922, after it had been sanctioned by the League of Nations. The White Paper was issued

in June 1922. It is important because it contains two official definitions of the interpretation placed by the British Govern-

ment upon the Bulfour Declaration, and because the Government of Palestine affirms that this interpretation governs its activities in Palestine,

The first of these definitions reads as follows:

"The words (National Home) me that the Jesus, who are a people scal-lered throughout the marid, but teres throughout the moral, but whose hearts are almogs turned to Palestine, should be enabled to found here their home, and that some among them, within the limits fixed by the numbers and interests of the present population, should come to Palestine in order to help by their resources and official to dender the resources. and efforts to devolop the country to the advantage of all its inhabitants"

This interpretation was publiely given in Palestine on June 3, 1921, by the High Commissioner, and was endorsed by the Secretary of State for the Colonies in his speech to the House of Commons on June 14. 1921. (White Paper p. 6.)

The second definition found in a Statement entitled "British Policy In Palestine". (White Paper p. 17.) the important words are these:-

"When it is asked what is meant by the devolopment of the Jewish Natio-nal Home, it may be answered that it is not the imposition of a Jewish natio-nality upon the inhabitants of Palestine as a whole, but the further deveiopment of the existing Jewish com-munity, with the assistance of Jewn in other parts of the world, in order that it may become a center in which the Jewish people as a whole may take, on granula of religion and ruce on interest and a pride, But in order that this community should have the best prospect of free development and provide a full opportunity for the Jew-ish people to display its capacities, it is essential that it should know that it is in Pelestine as of right and not on sufferance. That is the reason why it is necessary that the Jewish Nationat Home in Pulcstine should be is-ternationally guaranteed, and that it should be formally recognised to rest upon uncient historic connection'

This, then, is the interpretation

weight. As thingsare, the Government is actively suing a constructive policy in regard to duty number one, while following a more passive, not to say negative one, in regard to the last two.

Article 4.

"An appropriate Jewish Agency shall be recognised as a public body for the purpose of advising and co-o perating with the Administration of Palesline in such economic social and other mat-lers as may affect the establishment of the Jewish national home"

Article 11.

....The Administration may arrange with the Jewish Agency mentioned in Article 4, to construct or ope rate, . . . any public works, services and utilities and to develop any of the natural re-sources of the country....

hi practice this amounts to the Mandate having provided the Government of Palestine with a partner in the Administration even if only a consultative one for what administrative action can be envisaged which lies outside the orbit of the privileoutside the ornt of the privileges accorded to the Jewish A-gency under these two articles? and besides these there are others, such as co-operation with the Government in the matters of immigration, and close settlement of Jews on the land (Art: 6.) The Government has availed itself of the permis-sive word "may" in Article 11 to force upon the Arabs the Rulemberg Concession in spite of strenuous opposition on their part.

Enough has been said to show that the interpretation placed upon the Declaration by the British Government has not been allowed to complete-ly govern the instructions for ly govern the instructions for carrying it out as Inid down in the Mandate, Article 28 contem-plates the termination of the Mandate and the period of tu-telinge to have ended. It envisa-ges the time when the National Home shall have been establi-shed, and the Mandatory will lave retired. have retired .

" In the event of the termination "In the event of the verticularies of the Mundate hereby conferred, the Council of the League of Nationa shall , . . . was its influence for secur-ing under the guarantee of the League. that the Government of Palestine will fully honour the financial obligations legitimately incurred by the Adminis-

It looks forward to the time when a permanent Government shall have developed from the temporary one which is imagi-ned to have busied itself with temporary the winer is magned to have busied itself with co-operating with the Jewish Agency along the lines mentioned in articles 4, 6, 7, and 11. It is not difficult to foresee that such a Government will be in all essentials a Jewish one, for it must be capable of supporting the structure of the National Home, which, if the intentions of the drafters of the Mandate have come to fruition, will have been set up and sufficiently consolidated during the Mandatory period. The White Paper portrays the lime when the lion and the lamb lie down together, with a little child to lead them.

F. E. N.

The Balfour Declaration

Comprehended and Enforced.

First Sight, Very Clear

The Balfour Declaration was Arabs about a fortnight after it had been trumpetted far and wide in the midst of the world war. The Headquarters of the new British Administration was first established at Jaffa - occupied on the 18th New 1917. first established at Jaffa - occapied on the 16th. Nov. 1917. The declaration had been issued on the 2nd of that month. The Jewish Committee, with a Jewish - British Officer for a Chairman, was welcomed at the British Hendquarters. A Jewish Statewas thus cherished, and not merely tolerated, in the bosom of the then Military Government. It manifested miraculous symptoms of a fabulous growth. By March 1918, the Jewish State By March 1918, the Jewish State Flag was fluttering in arrogant pride over the headquarters of the Zionist Commission. A regi-ment of Jewish Troops, in Briment of Jewish troops, in Bri-tish uniform, completed the lustre of Jewish glory. Thus was the Balfour Declaration, at the outset, interpreted in acts and deeds, in fact and in reality,

What have we here? queried

More sham. Fear not, Keep on driving out the Turk. Thus was the assuring British reply!

nerplicable.

On the 8th of May 1918 - also at Juffa - a formal meeting of Arabs was officially arranged to receive Dr. Weizmann's Arabs was ordered to receive Dr. Weizmann's aumonncements and revolutions with the object of clearing up the with the object of clearing up the Zionist misunderstanding. The Zionist leader spoke in English. The interpreter for the occasion was an Arab. Faithful to his task, the interpreter avowed his in-capacity and begged the leader to say what he meant precisely by the "Jewish National Home in Palestine. The leader was perturbed; his head bent to-wards his knees; and an elo-quent silence prevailed. "Fover national" suggested a member of the Zionist Commission. Expostulation cusued. The meaning was not disclosed. The real

Clever Explanation

The real meaning was, how-ever, given out by the Dr. Weis-man accredited spokesman of the National Home Policy when he made the following plain statement to a Jewish congress.

"I declare that by a Jewish National Home we meant the creation in Pales tine of such conditions as should end to establish between 50000 and 60000 Jews per annum there, and in settle them on the land, Further that the conditions should be such should be allowed to develop aditions should be such that we litations, our schools, and the Hebrew nguage - that there should ultimate ty be such conditions that Palestine should be just as Jewish as America is American and England is English.

The Real Meaning

Lord Balfour with whose Lord Ballour — with whose name the Jewish National Ho-me Policy is associated - bosts of his pleasure to participate in the inauguration of the Hebrew University in endorsement foregoing interpretation is expounded in still which is expounded in still plainer phrases by Dr. Eder, President of the Zionist Com-mission in Palestine. the fore which is

"There can be only one National Home in Palestine, and that a Jewish one; and no equality in the partner-ship between Jews and Arabs, but a Jewish predominance as soon as the numbers of that race are sufficiently

In other words, nay in the ery words of Dr. Weizmann very words of Dr. Weizmann himself, Palestine is no more to be a country for the Arabs.

"There are three Pulestines". Weizmann sags. One for the Arme-ulaus: that is Armenia. Another for the Arabs: and that is Gentral Arabu. And a Palestine for the Jeu is Palestine proper",

Out with the Arabs. In with the Jews. This is the chorus of the Balfour Declaration

"Peaceful penetration" which was for some time the sloward successful Jewish policy has been finally substituted by a more practicable password; Penceful Ousting and Spoliation of Arabs.
This is not a crime! To kill

a man in endorsement of a sentence of death is not a crimel. The executioner gets his pay. Whether it be justice or not, however, is a different matter.

The British Government ac-cepted to be "responsible for placing the country under such political, administrative, and economic conditions as will secure the establishment of the Secure the establishment of the Jewish National Home." (Vide Art. 2, Mandate.) And penceful ousting and spoliation of Arabs in favour of an juffux of Jews supplies the motto for the Pa-lestine Government to live up to.

An Arab employee against whom the least blame is alleg-ed, is thrown out of his Office! A Jewish functionary against whom multifarious irregularities are provable, is transferred with a promotion. A British Senior Official promoting the Jewish National Home policy in deliance of either law or justice or both together, is applanded and rewarded.

This is the mandate in applieation. The executioner his pay!
Are They British

After 30 long years of British Administration in Egypt, Lord Kitchener and it enacted that the last 5 feddans of a farmer's holding could not be transferred from him. The poor ignorant cilizen was thus pro-tected. The usurer: the money lender; the speculator; aft were held in check.

But the British in Palestine are not Brilish at all. Here, the upper hand is not theirs. The National Home for the Jewish people is their first duty. That is the law!

Wind up the Agricultural Bank! Allow no credit foncier bank to be established! Dis-courage credit on land security! Keep the Arabs busy claiming their robbed political and na-tional rights! The Arabs' crops were splendid in 1920, their economical situation will be improved if the export of grain is not prohibited! Prohibit it! Let the moth have a feast on Arab grain!. The Arab is forced to sell land. The Land Registry open to assist him. The

But this is not sufficient! The Arabs are disarmed, The Jewish colonists - the new settlers-must be fully armed! Supply them with Government rifles! In addition rifles and revolvers in hundreds are smuggled into the country in bee-hives by the Jews and for the Jews! The Jews and for the Jews! The crime is detected by accident unfortunate. The Law is invoked. The firearms are punished by a dip in the sea. The sningglers lose their profit! Adequate punishment! Prestige for the Jew in his National Home must be kept high.

Lands change hands Thus lands have been sold

to Jews after the Jewish national home policy al home policy was adopted. And of the total area sold to them, over eighty per cent he-longed to non-Palestinians, being five or six wealthy Syrian neing ive or six weathy Syrian families established and domi-ciled in Beirut or Damuscus. Capitalists as they are, they foresnw Jewish Bolchevism taking root in Pulestine; and realizing their lambility to reck-on, any lancer on safely for on any longer on safety non-Jewish Interests, they s their property.

These sales may be classified under the heading of peaceful spoliation. For it must be remembered that the Arab farmers, tenants, califivators, ploughmen, and other workmen, who lived upon those vast estates, have had to go away. Their new Jewish landlords would not have them. The Javish settlers are undered in lords would not have them. The Jewish settlers are ushered in. On paper, the Lund Transfer Ordinance provides for the safe-guard of the rights of the displaced tenants. It demands that sufficient land be found for the peasunt to live upon. Has this provision been observed? No. It has been avoided and evaded "The tenants renounced their rights; they received a monetary compensation." a monetary compet received a monerary compensation and went peacefully away "So says the Government! We say:- " One poor pensunt was killed on the spot; and the crime was committed in open day light almost under the Governor's nose. Besides, the ousled tenants soon meet their

ousied tenants soon meet their fate of penury.

Now some instances of divect peaceful spoliation! Here it is the Palestine Government that appears on the scene.

A Jewish Co, covetted a certain beautiful tract of irrigable land on the border of the river. The owners are the Obeidiyeh villagers Arab peasants. Instead of having recourse to the courts, the company obtained a deciof having recourse to the courts, the company obtained a decision from the Sub - District Governor - British and non - Jewish, but pronouncedly Jewish In policy, The decision was executed with Police force asstance. The land was handed over to the company, The poor villagers had engaged a lawyer (English speaking Arab) who did his best but failed to get the avenue of the property back. A ** Black-

did his best but failed to get the property back. A ** Black-listed" Arab was resorted to. This fellow declared his in-tention to lead the Arab own-ers back to their property un-der the fire of Jewish and Po-lice cifles in definees of the lice villes in defiance of the illegal and unjust decision that had been enforced. There, the decision was reversed! The decision was reversed! I properly was restored to owners. But the restoration a stolen article proves the theft.

Try a " fall acco

Another Jewish company co-vetted a stretch of 1000 dunums, magnificently situated - with the segnate and the segnate and the segnate and the segnate to the segnate and the segnate at the segnate and the segnate as a segument. The sews wanted 4000 danums. The sews wanted 4000 danums. The sews wanted 4000 danums. wanted 4000 dunums. The Government said "No". The Government would give only Government would give unity to the force of poor villagers signing an un-dertaking to pay taxes for any portion of their lands left with-out cultivation. All was culti-vated. The second attempt was "the fait accompli" and no ar-gument at all. The district Governor-again a Britisher-appeared on the spot, demarcated the plot, and handed it over to the company. The blacklisted Arah was resorted to. The Po-lice force in the outpost was ordered to be ready. The De-

parlment of Lands returned again to their senses. The land was restored to its Arab own-ers; and the Government ulti-metly found out that it owed then 2500 dunums more.

God's Reply

One more sketch. Ceaseren. the first sent of Christianity, was fine seaport, built 20 years be-ore Christ. The lands in the fore Christ. The lands in the vicinity, known as Barrat Gep-serea, are held by 80 Arab fa-milies. They are not State lands. Call them State lands. Assume they are, Include them in the concession to a Jewish company without grantoning the Araby without grantoning the Araby. concession to a sewish company without mentioning, the Arabs who let us assume are not there. The mandate 'tself ignores' all the Arabs of Palesline so that the Arabs of Palestine so that "the land without a people might be given to the people without a land," Sign the con-cession, dated 8th November 1921. Chant the chorus;- "out with the Arabs, in with the Jews: and maren on." It is January 1922. The concessionaires pre-vent the Arabs of Barral Cea-serea from cultivating any bit vent the Arabs of Barral Ceaserva from cultivating any bit of their lands. The Suh-District Officer confirms the fact that the lands have been leased to the Jews (for 200 years). The victims protest. They are allowed, provisionally, to resume authorities. An allowed provisional companions of the confirmation of their contractions are allowed. lowed, provisionally, to resume cultivation. An official commission in February, mother in June, and a third in December, 1922, all ascertain the fact that the lands are not State lands and that, the victims number over 400 Arab souls, born libercon, living therefrom. But the document does not mention them. That the omission is an error is obvious? Yet mention them. That the omis-sion is an error is obvious? Yet it is maintained! Frighten the Arabs out, by officially aflow-ing the Jewish convessionaires to cut the Serris shrubwood from those lands! If the Arabs quarrel with the woodculters, that will be splendid! They do not! The blacklisted man lakes precautions! Frighten him! not! The biacklisted man takes precautions! Frighten him! Four Jewshang about his room at 2 o'clock after midnight! They are taken for thieves, fired on, and captured. But let us recur to the 80 Arab peasant families. Their case reaches London. A question is asked in Parliament. "No spoliation of Arabs will be allowed" is the reply. But has it been disallowed; this is the question. Has Barrat Censeren heen excluded from the Concession? The injustice is represented again to the Secretary of State for the Colonies, through the proper channel. But even that would not deter the District Governor from a larther attempt to drive those Arabs. To despuis. The from a larther attempt to drive those Arabs to despoir. The League of Nations was ap-proached, Even God has been proached, Even God has been approached, again through the proper channel, by an appeal, to Sir Herbert Simuel's conscience and his solemn onth to administer due justice on behalf of His Majesty the King. The result is silence!

And so might be multiplied instance after instance! The spoliation of the Arabs for the Jews! Slow but sure peaceful Jews! Slow but sure peaceful penetration whereby their lands are acquired by right way or wrong according to the necessi-ty of the occasion, So burns the hate of a nation

dispossessed!

dispossessed!

Lord Balfour may be pleased to behold these phenomena of the conversation of Palestine into a Jewish National Home. Out with the Arabs. Their Palestine is Central Arabia, the Jews say. In with the Jews, theirs is Palestine proper. England is placing the Arabs of Palestine under the heel of the Jew, and this is the meaning of the British Mandate for Palestine!

W. F. Boustany. Haifa.

THE PALESTINE FOLLY.

A NEW BURDEN FOR BRITAIN TO BEAR.

By G. WARD PRICE.

Daily Mail Jan. 29th, 1925

How unripe for self-administration are these countries of the Middle East was illustrated by a political incident which followed directly upon General Sarrail's recent arrival at Beyrout as French High Commissioner.

During his official reception General Sarrail (under whose supreme command many thousands of British soldiers served in the Balkans) announced to the assembled Syrian notables that he intented to allow them to choose a Governor of their own for the principal Syrian province of the Lebanon, hitherto administered by a Frenchman. The High Commissioner himself told me that this was intented as a first step towards Syrian independence, Instantly a forment of scriarian jeniousy began. The Christians wanted a Governor of their faith; the Moslem Syrians were deter-mined to have a Mahounmedan; the Alnouites, a pagnn sect, the Druses, a jealous body of Delats, opposed both these suggestions. No agreement could be reached, and the High Commissioner has had in the end to appoint another Frenchman.

It is such conflict of racial feeling that renders futile the artificial Zionist experiment on which the British Government is still engaged in this neighbouring territory of Palestine. All the official favour and encouragement lavished upon Jewish immigrants will never enable them to hold their own, without our presence and prolection, against the Arah majority upon which the Bulfour Declaration (promising the Jews a "National Home" in Palestine) aimed at grafting them.

AN ARAB LAND

The Jewish settlers profess to look forward to the time when enough Jews will be establish, ed in Palestine for Great Britain to withdraw and hand over the administration to them. In official quariers they are apparently indulged in this illusion.

But the fact is that Palestine ceased to be a Jewish country in the year A.D. 71, when the Emperor Titus sacked Jerusalem. It has since been, and is now, an Arab land, bordering upon the primitive Arab State of Transjordania, beyond which lie Iraq and the Hedjaz, the heart of the Arabic and Islamic world.

During the six years that we have been in Palestine, about 40,000 Jews have come to settle here, of whom 5,000 have realised either their own unsuitability or that of their Promised Land and have gone away again. In recent months the rate of immigration has been increasing, and at an official source in Jerusalem I heard that as many as 500 Jews a week lave lately been admitted.

But this movement is a subsidised not a natural one. Dur-

ing the last four years foreign Zionist organisations have spent £6,000,000 in financing Jewsih settlers. Some £2,000,000 has been invested in Polestine from other sources, and, while the British taxpayer bears the expence of guarding and policing the country, the Paiestine Administration itself has laid out another £2,000,000 on roads and railways : £10,000,000 within four years on a finy country half as large again as Yorkshire almost any territory in the world could be developed at anch a cost - yet only 35,000 Jews have taken advantage of this well-endowed "National " which the British Government has tried to make for them among three quarters of a million hostile Arabs.

ON THE DOLE.

A day's motor-car run through Palestine is enough to reveal the reason of the fallure of the Balfour scheme. Palestine is solely an agricultural country: the Jews are not agriculturists They crowd to the towns, trying to carry on the industries to, which they were used in their Central European homes. Tel-Aviv, a suburb of Jaffa, has grown in population from 2,862 in 1919 to 21,000 in 1924. There are 25 small factories in the place, some textile, others manufacturing such articles as confectionery, jnm, and mineral waters. One hundred and seventy little grocers shops, 33 cafés, and 42 hotels and restaurants are the other principal industries of Tel-Aviv

But Palestine will not become a self-supporting community by means of Jews taking in each other's washing or selling each other toffee and Jam. If the Jew, like the native Arab, could make his living from the soil, the idea of rebuilding the kingdom of Israel might not be so fantastic. But town-bred immigrants from German and Polish ghettoes cannot be made into farmers.

The 18 per cent. of the Palestinian Jews who do live on the soil are mostly "remittancemen," equipped and kept going by the rich Jews of Europe and America. The Zionist organisation maintains a regular dole-system for Jewish settlers in Palestine. They are given unemployment pay when out of work, and their wages, if insufficient for a European standard of living, are supplemented from the same source.

The result of this system is an artificial appearance of prosperity which the pressure of economic facts must eventually destroy. On the Plain of Jezreel is growing up a Jewish Garden City of European villas, called "Balfouria" (a standing reminder to the Arabs of our foolish experiment at their expense). This community is the pride of Zionism, but one glance at the countryside around will show that the land is not economical-

ly capable of maintaining a population of European requirements in competition with the Arabs, whose wants are so much less. And the young "Balfouriaus" I not by the wayside, out for a Sabbath afternoon-ride on farm-ponies, doubtless provided by the donations of a Rothschild, belong to a type more at home in the Friedrichstrasse than on the road to Nazareth.

The British soldiers who fought at Nablus, near by, never imagined that they were conquering territory for what is virtually a German colony. From the time you set foot on the Kantara ferry going into Palestine, German is constantly in your cars.

A POLITICAL FREAK.

If the scheme were economically sound and a neglected part of the earth were being made fruitful, there might at least be material justification for the political freak the Balfour Declaration has set up. But Patestine is little more than a Jewish almshouse and shows no sign of ever becoming self-supporting. Even the pre-war Zionist settlements have never repaid the capital invested in them

The British Government has been wrong to spend our money and incur Arab hostility by taking up this visionary plan of bringing the Jews back to Israel. We have assumed responsibilily for a surplus population de pendent upon subventions from abroad. Such subventious are obtained on the avelest of creating a Jewish Palestine. When economic conditions compel the British authorities to stop Jewish immigration at the next tende slumn in Palestine, the flow of Zionist money will cease and these people will be on our hands. It is the British Exchequer which will then be called upon to relieve Jewish settlers, of whom many are former enemy aliens.

This next summer Sir Herbert Samuel's term of office as High Commissioner comes to an end. The appointment of a new Governor would be a suitable occasion for setting a fluid to the further increase, under the British responsibility, of a foreign-subsidised community of after race and language, which has quite clearly no change of laking root in the country.

Iraq, of the Hedjaz, or of Transjordania living in Palestine the Arab flag would also be flown, since it would then be recognised as a State flag, but the flying of it by others is banned under the Ordinance, and therefore it is never seen. At the funeral of a prominent Arab in Juffa, not long ago, the Governor of the town did not allow of the carrying of the flags of various Arab clubs and Societies in the funeral procession until he was satisfied that they could not be mistaken for the Arab National flag. On the other land, the Zionist flag, since it is not recognised as a State flag, may be, and is, flown by all who care to do so. The natural deduction drawn by almost everybody, whether rightly or wrongly, is that the Ordinance has been carefully worded so us to allow of the flying of the one, while forbidding the flying of the other. When Arahs protest, they are reproved by the Government officials, who ask, why do they thus give to the Zionisi flag a status which is denied to it by the Government? What is the difference bet-

ves of many nations exist in the

land, all of whom fly the flag

of the country they stand for.

If there were representatives of

What is the difference between a State flag and a National flag? We do not as a rule speak of a "State Anthem", but of a "National Anthem", yet they stand for the same idea. The writer in the "Doar ha Yom" is right. A National flag is the natural corrolary of a National Home, and so also is a National Home, and so also is a National Anthem, and the Jews have both. In Palestine the "Hatikvah" is recognised as being for the Jews on the same level as a God save the King s, and officials of the Palestine Government have been directed by the High Commissioner to stand when it is sung or played.

Apart altogether from the question of whether or not the Zionist flag has the status of a "State flag", it may be asked; is the Government of Palestme, in allowing the Zionist flag to be flown, while denying to the Arabs the right to fly the flag which the majority of them at any rate, look upon as their National flag, acting in accordance with the terias of the Royal Proclamation read by the fligh Commissioner in all the towns throughout the country when he took office in 1920? The words of His Majesty King George where these: "I desire to assure you (i. e. the people of Palestine) of the absolute impartiality with which the duties of the Mandatory Power will be carried out, and the determination of My Government to respect the rights of every race and every erced represented among you".

Is it wise for the Government of Palestine to aflow the flying of a flag which is (even though its colours are an innocuos combination of white and blue) in a very real sense a red rag to the Arab world? It is earnestly to be hoped that the time will soon come when this will cease to be the case, but that it is so now, nobody who knows the facts will deay. The simplest solution would seem to be this. Either to allow the use of both flags, or to forbid the use of both, teaving the Union Jack to ily alone as the symbol of the authority which now administers the affairs of the unhappy land of Palestine.

Printed by The Palestine New Press - Juffa

The Zionist Flag

It was not without reason that the Executive Committee of the Moslem and Christian Association sent to the High Commissioner for Palestine a protest against the flying of the Zionist flag at a football match held in Jerusalem on January 12th, 1925, and asked whether the Ordinance regulating the flying of flags, issued by the Government of Palestine in August 1920 had been abrogated.

The wording of the paragraph in the Ordinance in question reads as follows:

"The flag or emblem of any State, may not be carried or exhibited for the purpose of any partisan demonstration".

The Governor of the Jerusalem — Jaffa District replied :-

"Have the honour to inform you that the flag flown was the Club flag of the Hakouh football team, of which the colours are similar to those of the Zionist flag.... It is apparent that the Hakouh Club flag is not a State flag, and equally apparent that it was not being carried or exhibited for the purpose of any partisan demonstration, and that the Ordinance was therefore in no way infringed."

What is the Zionist flag? It consists of two equal horizontal stripes of white and blue bearing in the center the device known as the "Magen David", the interlacing triangles, or Hexagram, sometimes called the "Shield of David". Another flag flown by the Jews consists of the two simple blue and white strips without the "Magen David".

David".

It is interesting to find that the Club flag of the flakoah football team is used to well-come the Representative of His Majesty King George when he visits various towns and Jewish colonies, even when no

football forms part of the programme. Pictures have appeared in the English newspapers such as the Times and the Sphere showing the Union Jack and the Zionist flag flying from a tree over the High Commissioner and the gathering which met to celebrate the opening of the new Jewish colony of Gezer, near Ramleh.

The following extract from a leading article in the "Doar ha Yom", a Hebrew paper published in Jerusalem, and quoted in the 'Palestine Weekly', throws some light, on the subject:-

"It is now for us to say to the Government in London and to its representative in Jernaslem, Listen, the time has come when we must ask of Great Britain, and of the Great Powers, and of the League of Nations in particular, that all that has been done for the Arabs in Iraq, in the Hedjaz, and in Transjordania, should be done for the Jews in their National Home...... If kings and emirs have been given (sic) to the Arabs in their different lands in the East, why not give a "President to the Jewish National Home in the West (i.e. of the Jordan). It the flag of the Emir is floating over Amman, why should not the flag of our President float over Tel Aviv?.... If we have a National Home, then we must have a flag, and a free land for its surroundings. We must have a free political union with a President of our own at its head, and the Mandatory Power must show him all the respect due to a nation when it is a nation".

This seems to show, does it not, that the Zionist flag has for the Jews a somewhat deeper significance than that attributed to it by the Governor of the Jerusalem - Jaffa District, for the two flags he speaks of are identically the same.

Many flags are to be seen in Palestine, for the representati-